

Locally Stable Spline Bases on Nested Triangulations

Oleg Davydov

Abstract. Given a nested sequence of triangulations $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots$ of a polygonal domain Ω , we construct for any $r \geq 1$, $d \geq 4r + 1$, locally stable bases for some spaces $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_0) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_1) \subset \dots \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n) \subset \dots$ of bivariate polynomial splines of smoothness r and degree d . In particular, the bases are stable and locally linearly independent simultaneously.

§1. Introduction

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta) = \{s \in C^r(\Omega) : s|_T \in \mathcal{P}_d \text{ for all triangles } T \in \Delta\},$$

be the space of polynomial splines of degree d and smoothness r on a triangulation Δ of a polygonal domain Ω , where \mathcal{P}_d is the space of bivariate polynomials of total degree d .

Suppose the sequence of triangulations $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n, \dots$, of Ω obtained by consecutive refinements of an initial coarse triangulation Δ_0 is shape regular, *i.e.*, the smallest angle of all triangles in each Δ_n is at least θ , for some $\theta > 0$ independent of n . Standard conforming smooth finite elements [4] with respect to Δ_n span the superspline subspaces

$$\mathcal{S}_d^{r,2r}(\Delta) = \{s \in \mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta) : s \in C^{2r}(v) \text{ for all vertices } v \text{ of } \Delta\},$$

of $\mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta)$, $r \geq 4r + 1$, [3,21], where $s \in C^{2r}(v)$ means that s is $2r$ times differentiable at v . Since these spaces are not nested, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{S}_d^{r,2r}(\Delta_n) \not\subset \mathcal{S}_d^{r,2r}(\Delta_{n+1}),$$

there are well known complications in using them for multiscale numerical methods, see *e.g.* [19]. As an alternative, it was suggested in [5,17] to use locally supported bases for the full spline spaces $\mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta_n)$. However, the standard constructions [1,14,15,18] of locally supported bases for $\mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta)$ in general lack the highly desirable property of stability, where a sequence of spline bases $(s_i^{[n]})_{i \in I_n} \subset \mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta_n)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, is called (L_p) -stable if for all choices of the coefficient vectors $c = (c_i)_{i \in I_n}$,

$$K_1 \|c\|_p \leq \left\| \sum_{i \in I_n} c_i s_i^{[n]} \right\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq K_2 \|c\|_p, \quad (1)$$

with constants K_1, K_2 depending only on r, d and θ . On the other hand, stable locally supported spline bases used in [2,16] span superspline subspaces of $\mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta)$, $d \geq 3r + 2$, that are less restrictive than $\mathcal{S}_d^{r,2r}(\Delta)$ but also lack nestedness for nested triangulations.

Recently constructed [10,11] stable locally supported bases for $\mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta)$, $d \geq 3r + 2$, solve this problem. Moreover, a construction of stable locally supported bases for the full spline spaces over regular triangulations of polyhedral domains in $n \geq 3$ variables is also available [7] if $d \geq r2^n + 1$. A certain drawback of these constructions is the fact that the dimension of $\mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta)$ is *unstable* since it depends on the geometry of the triangulation [18,20], thus allowing sudden changes in the number of basis functions as certain vertices are slightly perturbed. Computational aspects of this situation were discussed in [8] and numerical evidence was provided showing that despite the instability of the dimension the basis splines can be efficiently computed. However, it may be desirable to work with *nested subspaces of $\mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta_n)$ with stable dimension*.

In this paper we present a construction of locally supported bases $(s_i^{[n]})_{i \in I_n}$ for certain nested subspaces $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n)$ of $\mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta_n)$ if $d \geq 4r + 1$. These subspaces satisfy

$$\mathcal{S}_d^{r,2r}(\Delta_n) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n) \subset \mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2)$$

and therefore include all polynomials in \mathcal{P}_d . The dimension of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n)$ is independent of the geometry of Δ_n . Moreover, the sequence of bases is locally L_p -stable in the sense that for all choices of the coefficient vectors $c = (c_i)_{i \in I_n}$, and for each triangle $T \in \Delta_n$,

$$K_1 \|c|_{I_n(T)}\|_p \leq \left\| \sum_{i \in I_n(T)} c_i s_i^{[n]} \right\|_{L_p(T)} \leq K_2 \|c|_{I_n(T)}\|_p, \quad (3)$$

with constants K_1, K_2 depending only on r, d and θ , where

$$I_n(T) := \{i \in I_n : T \subset \text{supp } s_i^{[n]}\}.$$

Obviously, a locally L_p -stable basis is always locally linearly independent, *i.e.*, for each $T \in \Delta_n$, the functions in

$$\{s_i^{[n]}|_T : i \in I_n(T)\}$$

are linearly independent. Since $s_i^{[n]}|_T$ coincide with some polynomials in \mathcal{P}_d , it follows that $\#I_n(T) \leq \binom{d+2}{2}$, and hence, a locally L_p -stable spline basis is also L_p -stable in the sense of (1).

We recall that local stability is a property of B -splines and finite-element bases, whereas it was shown in [10,11] that stability and local linear independence are not compatible in general for the bases of the full spline space $\mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta)$ in two variables.

As a simple example to show that local linear independence and stability together do not necessarily imply local stability, consider the univariate spaces $S_n = \text{span}\{s_1^{[n]}, s_2^{[n]}, s_3^{[n]}\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$s_1^{[n]} = \begin{cases} t, & t \in [0, 1], \\ 0, & t \in [-1, 0], \end{cases} \quad s_2^{[n]} = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [0, 1], \\ -t, & t \in [-1, 0], \end{cases}$$

$$s_3^{[n]} = \begin{cases} (1-t)/n, & t \in [0, 1], \\ -(1+t)(t-1/n), & t \in [-1, 0]. \end{cases}$$

(For more on locally linearly independent bases, see *e.g.* [6,12,13].)

§2. Spaces $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n)$ and Construction of Bases

Let \mathcal{V}_n and \mathcal{E}_n be the sets of all vertices and all edges of the triangulation Δ_n , respectively. Denote by E_n the union of all edges $e \in \mathcal{E}_n$. Since the triangulations Δ_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are obtained by consecutive refinements of Δ_0 , we have

$$\mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{V}_n \subset \dots,$$

$$E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_n \subset \dots.$$

Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0 = \emptyset, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n := \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{n-1} \cup [(\mathcal{V}_n \setminus \mathcal{V}_{n-1}) \cap E_{n-1} \cap \text{int } \Omega], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For any $v \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$, let $n_v := \min\{n : v \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n\}$. Obviously, there is a unique edge e_v of Δ_{n_v-1} , with adjacent triangles $T_v^+, T_v^- \in \Delta_{n_v-1}$, such that v lies in the interior of e_v . We set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n) = \{s \in \mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta_n) : s \in C^{2r}(v) \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{V}_n \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n, \text{ and}$$

$$s|_{T_v^+} \in C^{2r}(v), s|_{T_v^-} \in C^{2r}(v) \text{ for all } v \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n\}.$$

It is easy to see that (2) is satisfied and that the spaces are nested,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_{n-1}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

To show the latter, we suppose that $s \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_{n-1})$. Then $s \in \mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta_{n-1}) \subset \mathcal{S}_d^r(\Delta_n)$. If $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$, then either $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{n-1}$, or $n_v = n$, $T_v^+, T_v^- \in \Delta_{n-1}$ and v lies in the interior of the common edge e_v of these two triangles. Obviously, in both cases $s|_{T_v^+} \in C^{2r}(v)$, $s|_{T_v^-} \in C^{2r}(v)$. If, otherwise, $v \in \mathcal{V}_n \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$, then either $v \in \mathcal{V}_{n-1} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{n-1}$, or $v \in \Omega \setminus E_{n-1}$, or $v \in \partial\Omega \setminus \mathcal{V}_{n-1}$. In all three cases $s \in C^{2r}(v)$.

We first determine a basis for the dual space $(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n))^*$ by using usual nodal functionals. Let D_e and D_{e^\perp} denote the derivative in the direction parallel or perpendicular to an edge $e \in \mathcal{E}_n$, respectively. We will also need the same notation $D_{e_v}, D_{e_v^\perp}$ for the special edge e_v defined above for each $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$. The linear functional evaluating at $\xi \in \Omega$ any function f continuous at ξ will be denoted by δ_ξ .

Consider the set

$$\mathcal{N} = \left(\bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{V}_n} \mathcal{N}_v \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}_n} \mathcal{N}_e \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{T \in \Delta_n} \mathcal{N}_T \right),$$

of nodal linear functionals on $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n)$, where for each $T = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle \in \Delta_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_T &= \{\delta_\xi : \xi \in \Xi_T\}, \\ \Xi_T &= \left\{ \xi = \frac{i_1 v_1 + i_2 v_2 + i_3 v_3}{d} : i_1 + i_2 + i_3 = d, \quad i_1, i_2, i_3 > r \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for each edge $e = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{E}_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_e &= \{\delta_\xi D_{e^\perp}^q : \xi \in \Xi_{e,q}, \quad q = 0, \dots, r\}, \\ \Xi_{e,q} &= \left\{ \xi = \frac{i_1 v_1 + i_2 v_2}{d} : i_1 + i_2 = d, \quad i_1, i_2 > 2r - q \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for each vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}_n$ the set \mathcal{N}_v is defined as follows. If $v \in \mathcal{V}_n \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$, then

$$\mathcal{N}_v = \{\delta_v D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta : \alpha + \beta \leq 2r\}.$$

If $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_v &= \{\delta_v D_{e_v}^\alpha D_{e_v^\perp}^\beta : \alpha + \beta \leq 2r, \beta \leq r\} \\ &\cup \{\delta_v^+ D_{e_v}^\alpha D_{e_v^\perp}^\beta : \alpha + \beta \leq 2r, \beta \geq r + 1\} \\ &\cup \{\delta_v^- D_{e_v}^\alpha D_{e_v^\perp}^\beta : \alpha + \beta \leq 2r, \beta \geq r + 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_v^\pm f := \delta_v(f|_{T_v^\pm})$.

Theorem 1. *The set \mathcal{N} is a basis for $(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n))^*$.*

Proof: We first prove that \mathcal{N} is a spanning set for $(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n))^*$. This will follow if we show that $\eta s = 0$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$ implies $s = 0$ whenever $s \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n)$. Given a triangle $T \in \Delta_n$, with vertices v_1, v_2, v_3 and edges e_1, e_2, e_3 , consider the set

$$\mathcal{N}(T) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{N}_{v_i}(T) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{N}_{e_i} \right) \cup \mathcal{N}_T, \quad (5)$$

with $\mathcal{N}_v(T) := \mathcal{N}_v$ if $v \in \mathcal{V}_n \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_v(T) := & \{ \delta_v D_{e_v}^\alpha D_{e_v^\perp}^\beta : \alpha + \beta \leq 2r, \beta \leq r \} \\ & \cup \begin{cases} \{ \delta_v^+ D_{e_v}^\alpha D_{e_v^\perp}^\beta : \alpha + \beta \leq 2r, \beta \geq r + 1 \}, & \text{if } T \subset T_v^+, \\ \{ \delta_v^- D_{e_v}^\alpha D_{e_v^\perp}^\beta : \alpha + \beta \leq 2r, \beta \geq r + 1 \}, & \text{if } T \subset T_v^-, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

if $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$. Clearly, $\eta s = 0$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)$ implies that the polynomial $p_T = s|_T$ satisfies homogeneous interpolation conditions of the standard interpolation scheme used to define finite elements of the space $\mathcal{S}_d^{r,2r}(\Delta)$, see *e.g.* [21]. Therefore $s|_T = 0$ for each T , and $s = 0$.

We now prove that \mathcal{N} is linearly independent. To this end, we show that for any $a = (a_\eta)_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\#\mathcal{N}}$, there exists a spline $s \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n)$ such that

$$\eta s = a_\eta, \quad \text{all } \eta \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (6)$$

We define the polynomial pieces p_T , $T \in \Delta_n$, using the finite-element interpolation scheme mentioned above, such that for each $T \in \Delta_n$,

$$\eta p_T = a_\eta, \quad \text{all } \eta \in \mathcal{N}(T). \quad (7)$$

Setting $s|_T = p_T$ for all $T \in \Delta_n$, we have to show that the piecewise polynomial function s lies in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n)$.

Let $v \in \mathcal{V}_n \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$, and let T_1, T_2 are any two triangles attached to v . Since $\mathcal{N}_v \subset \mathcal{N}(T_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(T_2)$, we have by (7),

$$\delta_v D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta p_{T_1} = \delta_v D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta p_{T_2}, \quad \text{all } \alpha + \beta \leq 2r,$$

which ensures that $s \in C^{2r}(v)$. If $v \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$, then for any two triangles T_1, T_2 attached to v ,

$$\delta_v D_{e_v}^\alpha D_{e_v^\perp}^\beta p_{T_1} = \delta_v D_{e_v}^\alpha D_{e_v^\perp}^\beta p_{T_2}, \quad \text{all } \alpha + \beta \leq 2r, \beta \leq r.$$

Moreover, if either $T_1 \cup T_2 \subset T_v^+$ or $T_1 \cup T_2 \subset T_v^-$, then

$$\delta_v D_{e_v}^\alpha D_{e_v^\perp}^\beta p_{T_1} = \delta_v D_{e_v}^\alpha D_{e_v^\perp}^\beta p_{T_2}, \quad \text{all } \alpha + \beta \leq 2r,$$

which implies that $s|_{T_v^+} \in C^{2r}(v)$ and $s|_{T_v^-} \in C^{2r}(v)$.

Let $e = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ be an interior edge of Δ_n , with adjacent triangles T_1, T_2 . From the above it follows that for all $\alpha + \beta \leq 2r$, $\beta \leq r$,

$$\delta_{v_1} D_e^\alpha D_{e^\perp}^\beta p_{T_1} = \delta_{v_1} D_e^\alpha D_{e^\perp}^\beta p_{T_2}, \quad \delta_{v_2} D_e^\alpha D_{e^\perp}^\beta p_{T_1} = \delta_{v_2} D_e^\alpha D_{e^\perp}^\beta p_{T_2}.$$

Moreover, since $\mathcal{N}_e \subset \mathcal{N}(T_1) \cap \mathcal{N}(T_2)$, we have

$$\delta_\xi D_{e^\perp}^q p_{T_1} = \delta_\xi D_{e^\perp}^q p_{T_2}, \quad \xi \in \Xi_{e,q}, \quad q = 0, \dots, r.$$

This implies that for each $q = 0, \dots, r$, the univariate polynomial

$$\tilde{p} := D_{e^\perp}^q (p_{T_1} - p_{T_2})|_e$$

of degree $d - q$ satisfies homogeneous Hermite interpolation conditions

$$\begin{aligned} D_e^\mu \tilde{p}(v_1) &= D_e^\mu \tilde{p}(v_2) = 0, & \mu &= 0, \dots, 2r - q, \\ \tilde{p}(\xi) &= 0, & \text{all } \xi &\in \Xi_{e,q}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\tilde{p} = 0$, *i.e.*,

$$D_{e^\perp}^q p_{T_1}|_e = D_{e^\perp}^q p_{T_2}|_e, \quad q = 0, \dots, r,$$

which shows that s is r times continuously differentiable across e . Since $s \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n)$, (6) follows from (7), and the proof is complete. \square

By counting the number of functionals in each \mathcal{N}_v , \mathcal{N}_e , and \mathcal{N}_T , it is easy to check the following dimension formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n) &= \binom{d-3r-1}{2} \#\Delta_n + \frac{(r+1)(2d-7r-2)}{2} \#\mathcal{E}_n \\ &\quad + \binom{2r+2}{2} \#\mathcal{V}_n + \binom{r+1}{2} \#\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which shows that the dimension is independent of geometry.

The desired basis $(s_\eta^{[n]})_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}}$ for $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n)$ can be determined by the duality condition

$$\mu s_\eta^{[n]} = \delta_{\mu, \eta}, \quad \text{all } \mu, \eta \in \mathcal{N}. \quad (9)$$

Arguing similarly to the first part of the proof of Theorem 1, it is easy to show that

$$s_\eta^{[n]}|_T \neq 0 \quad \text{only if } \eta \in \mathcal{N}(T), \quad (10)$$

which in particular implies that

$$\text{supp } s_\eta^{[n]} \subset \begin{cases} T, & \text{if } \eta \in \mathcal{N}_T \text{ for some } T \in \mathcal{T}_n, \\ \text{star}(e), & \text{if } \eta \in \mathcal{N}_e \text{ for some } e \in \mathcal{E}_n, \\ \text{star}(v), & \text{if } \eta \in \mathcal{N}_v \text{ for some } v \in \mathcal{V}_n, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $\text{star}(e)$ and $\text{star}(v)$, respectively, denote the union of all triangles in Δ_n attached to an edge e or vertex v , respectively.

Theorem 2. *The above constructed sequence of bases $(s_\eta^{[n]})_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, is locally L_p -stable, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, after a suitable renorming.*

Proof: By (10), we have $I_n(T) = \mathcal{N}(T)$, where

$$I_n(T) := \{\eta \in \mathcal{N} : T \subset \text{supp } s_\eta^{[n]}\}.$$

Since $\#\mathcal{N}(T) = \binom{d+2}{2}$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} c_\eta s_\eta^{[n]} \right\|_{L_\infty(T)} \leq \binom{d+2}{2} \max_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} \|c_\eta s_\eta^{[n]}\|_{L_\infty(T)}.$$

The functionals in $\mathcal{N}(T)$ constitute a standard finite-element interpolation scheme, see *e.g.* [21]. Therefore, the general estimates for the norms of the finite-element interpolation operators [4] imply that

$$\|s_\eta^{[n]}\|_{L_\infty(T)} \leq K_1 h_T^{q(\eta)}, \quad (12)$$

where K_1 depends only on r , d and θ , h_T denotes the diameter of T , and $q(\eta)$ is the order of the derivative that defines η . On the other hand, by the Markov inequality, we have for each $\mu \in \mathcal{N}(T)$,

$$|c_\mu| = \mu \left(\sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} c_\eta s_\eta^{[n]} \right) \leq K_2 h_T^{-q(\eta)} \left\| \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} c_\eta s_\eta^{[n]} \right\|_{L_\infty(T)}, \quad (13)$$

where K_2 depends only on d and θ . Therefore,

$$K_2^{-1} \max_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} |c_\eta| \leq \left\| \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} c_\eta h_T^{-q(\eta)} s_\eta^{[n]} \right\|_{L_\infty(T)} \leq K_1 \binom{d+2}{2} \max_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} |c_\eta|.$$

Since $T \subset \text{supp } s_\eta^{[n]}$ and $\text{supp } s_\eta^{[n]}$ is at most the star of a vertex, we have

$$h_T \leq h_\eta \leq K_3 h_T, \quad (14)$$

where h_η denotes the diameter of $\text{supp } s_\eta^{[n]}$, and K_3 depends only on θ (see [16]). Therefore, we conclude that the sequence of bases

$$(h_\eta^{-q(\eta)} s_\eta^{[n]})_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

is locally L_∞ -stable, which completes the proof for the case $p = \infty$.

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. In this case we set

$$\hat{s}_\eta^{[n]} := A_\eta^{-1/p} h_\eta^{-q(\eta)} s_\eta^{[n]},$$

where A_η is the area of $\text{supp } s_\eta^{[n]}$. Then

$$A_T \leq A_\eta \leq K_4 A_T, \quad (15)$$

where A_T is the area of T , and K_4 depends only on θ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} c_\eta s_\eta^{[n]} \right\|_{L_p(T)}^p &= \int_T \left| \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} c_\eta s_\eta^{[n]} \right|^p \\ &\leq A_T \|s_\eta^{[n]}\|_{L_\infty(T)}^p (\#\mathcal{N}(T))^{p-1} \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} |c_\eta|^p, \end{aligned}$$

which by (12) implies that

$$\left\| \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} c_\eta s_\eta^{[n]} \right\|_{L_p(T)} \leq K_5 A_T^{1/p} h_T^{q(\eta)} \|c|_{\mathcal{N}(T)}\|_p, \quad (16)$$

with a constant K_5 depending only on r , d and θ . On the other hand, since

$$\sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} c_\eta s_\eta^{[n]}|_T$$

is a polynomial of degree d , we have for any $\mu \in \mathcal{N}(T)$ by (13) and a Nikolskii-type inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_\mu| &\leq K_2 h_T^{-q(\eta)} \left\| \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} c_\eta s_\eta^{[n]} \right\|_{L_\infty(T)} \\ &\leq K_6 A_T^{-1/p} h_T^{-q(\eta)} \left\| \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} c_\eta s_\eta^{[n]} \right\|_{L_p(T)}, \end{aligned}$$

where K_6 depends only on d and θ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|c|_{\mathcal{N}(T)}\|_p &= \left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{N}(T)} |c_\mu|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq (\#\mathcal{N}(T))^{1/p} K_6 A_T^{-1/p} h_T^{-q(\eta)} \left\| \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}(T)} c_\eta s_\eta^{[n]} \right\|_{L_p(T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\#\mathcal{N}(T) = \binom{d+2}{2}$, this last inequality together with (14)–(16) imply the local L_p -stability of the sequence of bases

$$(\hat{s}_\eta^{[n]})_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad \square$$

Finally, we note that the stability of the bases and the fact that

$$\mathcal{P}_d \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

can be used in a standard way (see[16]) to show the optimal approximation power of the spaces $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_d^r(\Delta_n)$.

References

1. Alfeld, P., B. Piper, and L. L. Schumaker, Minimally supported bases for spaces of bivariate piecewise polynomials of smoothness r and degree $d \geq 4r + 1$, *Comput. Aided Geom. Design* **4** (1987), 105–123.
2. Chui, C. K., D. Hong, and R.-Q. Jia, Stability of optimal order approximation by bivariate splines over arbitrary triangulations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), 3301–3318.
3. Chui, C. K. and M.-J. Lai, Multivariate vertex splines and finite elements, *J. Approx. Theory* **60** (1990), 245–343.
4. Ciarlet, P. G., *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*, North-Holland, Netherlands, 1978.
5. Dahmen, W., P. Oswald, and X.-Q. Shi, C^1 -hierarchical bases, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **51** (1994), 37–56.
6. Davydov, O., Locally linearly independent basis for C^1 bivariate splines, in *Mathematical Methods for Curves and Surfaces II*, Morten Dæhlen, Tom Lyche, Larry L. Schumaker (eds), Vanderbilt University Press, Nashville & London, 1998, 71–78.
7. Davydov, O., Stable local bases for multivariate spline spaces, *J. Approx. Theory* **111** (2001), 267–297.
8. Davydov, O., On the computation of stable local bases for bivariate polynomial splines, in *Trends in Approximation Theory*, Kirill Kopotun, Tom Lyche, Mike Neamtu (eds), Vanderbilt University Press, 2001, 85–94.
9. Davydov, O., G. Nürnberger, and F. Zeilfelder, Bivariate spline interpolation with optimal approximation order, *Constr. Approx.* **17** (2001), 181–208.
10. Davydov, O. and L. L. Schumaker, Stable local nodal bases for C^1 bivariate polynomial splines, in *Curve and Surface Fitting: Saint-Malo 99*, A. Cohen, C. Rabut, and L. L. Schumaker (eds), Vanderbilt University Press, Nashville TN, 2000, 171–180.
11. Davydov, O. and L. L. Schumaker, On stable local bases for bivariate polynomial spline spaces, *Constr. Approx.* **18** (2002), 87–116.
12. Davydov, O. and L. L. Schumaker, Locally linearly independent bases for bivariate polynomial splines, *Advances in Comp. Math.* **13** (2000), 355–373.
13. Davydov, O., M. Sommer, and H. Strauss, On almost interpolation and locally linearly independent bases, *East J. Approx.* **5** (1999), 67–88.
14. Hong, D., Spaces of bivariate spline functions over triangulation, *Approx. Theory Appl.* **7** (1991), 56–75.

15. Ibrahim, A. and L. L. Schumaker, Super spline spaces of smoothness r and degree $d \geq 3r + 2$, *Constr. Approx.* **7** (1991), 401–423.
16. Lai, M. J. and L. L. Schumaker, On the approximation power of bivariate splines, *Advances in Comp. Math.* **9** (1998), 251–279.
17. Le Méhauté, A., Nested sequences of triangular finite element spaces, in *Multivariate Approximation: Recent Trends and Results*, W. Haussman, K. Jetter and M. Reimer (eds), Akademie-Verlag, 1997, 133–145.
18. Morgan, J. and R. Scott, A nodal basis for C^1 piecewise polynomials of degree $n \geq 5$, *Math. Comp.* **29(131)** (1975), 736–740.
19. Oswald, P., *Multilevel Finite Element Approximation*, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1994.
20. Schumaker, L. L., On the dimension of spaces of piecewise polynomials in two variables, in *Multivariate Approximation Theory*, W. Schempp and K. Zeller (eds), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1979, 396–412.
21. Schumaker, L. L., On super splines and finite elements, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **26** (1989), 997–1005.

Oleg Davydov

Mathematisches Institut

Justus-Liebig-Universität

D-35392 Giessen, Germany

oleg.davydov@math.uni-giessen.de